Exhibit A Page **1** of **40**

Saddleback College Integrated Plan 2017-2019



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
Integrated Plan 2017-2019 - Summary	3
GOALS FOR 2017-2019	3
Part I – Deadlines and Important Information	8
PROGRAM INTEGRATION	8
DATA-DRIVEN PLANNING	9
Part II – Program Goals and Planning	10
PREVIOUS ACCOMPLISHMENTS	10
FUTURE PLANS	23
Part III – Approval and Signature Page	40

Integrated Plan 2017-2019 - Summary

The Saddleback College Integrated Plan plays an important role in fostering completion and successful outcomes. Specifically the plan contains five goals which focus on braiding together Basic Skills, Student Equity and Student Success and Support Programs and further aligns with our college's Strategic Plan and suggests links to the Guided Pathway Initiative.

GOALS FOR 2017-2019

Goal 1: Identify non-academic barriers to course completion and increase services to assist students in overcoming these barriers

Goal 2: Increase access and use of college support programs

Goal 3: Increase completion of transfer-level Math and English courses

Goal 4: Increase student completion of career technical and non-credit certificates, two year degrees and transfers

Goal 5: Increase the successful outcomes for disproportionately impacted students

Operationalization and Setting of Targets for Integrated Plan Goals

Goal 1: Identify non-academic barriers to course completion and increase services to assist students in overcoming these barriers

Definition and KPI	Non-academic barriers include structural, social, emotional and cognitive challenges that students face outside the classroom, including but not limited to: food insecurities, homelessness, and external jobs and responsibilities. The KPI for this goal is to establish a baseline of the top 5 non-academic barriers in year 1 and to hold focus groups to determine how services can
	be deployed to move the needle on retention.
Strategic Plan	Strategic Plan Goal 2.1: Increase student completion rates (degrees, certificates, and transfers) while preserving access, enhancing quality and closing the achievement gap.
Suggested Guided Pathways Link	Guided Pathways Pillar 3: Help students stay on a path
Target Definition	Findings from Student Drop Survey on the top five reasons for dropping a course. Develop action items from focus groups about the top five non-academic barriers to assist students to address course retention.



Definition and KPI	Access is defined as the percentage of the first-time student population applying for college at Saddleback indicating need of special services. Support programs are defined as the number of students participating in key activities, such as orientation and tutoring. The KPI for access is the percentage of students from special population who indicated needing services on their CCC apply application. The KPI for support is the number of students getting orientation, counseling and tutoring.
Strategic Plan	Strategic Plan Goal 2.1: Increase student completion rate (degrees, certificates, and transfer) while preserving access, enhancing quality and, and closing achievement gaps.
Suggested Guided Pathways Links	Access - Guided Pathways Pillar 1: Clarify the path Support - Guided Pathways Pillar 3: Help students stay on a path
Target Definition	There will be an increase from prior year in access and support indicators.

Access: Percent of Special Populations Applying to SC	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017
DSPS*	3.69%	3.16%	4.57%
Veterans*	3.23%	3.09%	3.03%
Foster Youth	1.24%	1.03%	1.27%
Cal Works*	4.00%	4.19%	4.31%
Age 15-17	12.2%	11.5%	13.2%
Totals	24.3%	22.9%	26.3%

*First-time students indicating need on application Source. SOCCCD Data Warehouse Applications data.

Support: Orientation and Tutoring at SC	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017
Orientations	7,238	8,269	8,094
Basic Skills Tutoring	323	759	930
Totals	7,561	9,028	9,024

*Academic Year - Summer, Fall, Spring following the CCCO allocation formula

Goal 3: Increase completion of transfer-level Math and English courses

Definition	The percentage of students who successfully complete transfer-level courses in Math and English within one year (Scorecard definition).
Strategic Plan	Strategic Plan Goal 2.1: Increase student completion rate (degrees, certificates, and transfer) while preserving access, enhancing quality and, and closing achievement gaps.
Suggested Guided Pathways Link	Guided Pathways Pillar 4: Ensure that students are learning

Target Definition

Based upon cohorts on the CCCO Scorecard, there will be an increase in 1-year completion rates for transfer-level math and English by 2018-2019.

Year		1-Yr Com	pleters		npletion ite
rear	Cohort	English	Math	English	Math
2011-2012	1309	689	180	52.64%	13.75%
2012-2013	1290	654	167	50.70%	12.95%
2013-2014	1162	609	188	52.41%	16.18%
2014-2015	1283	593	281	46.22%	21.90%
2015-2016	1262	627	310	49.68%	24.56%
2016-2017	1469	796	317	54.19%	21.58%
2018-2019					
(target)				54.60%	22.00%

Goal 4: Increase student completion of career technical and non-credit certificates, two year degrees and transfers

Definition	2-year completion rate for degrees, career technical and non-credit certificates, and transfers for first-time college students who attempted Math or English and attempted at least 6 credit units within 2-years.				
	Note: 2-year trans enrollment date a				
Strategic Plan	Strategic Plan Go certificates, and tr and closing achie	ansfer) while	preserving a	•	
Suggested Guided Pathways Link	Guided Pathways	Pillar 4: Ens	ure that stud	ents are learn	ning
	For all metrics besides transfer-outs and SPAR (both of which are affected by data issues with the National Student Clearinghouse) we predict a 1% increase by the end of the Integrated Planning cycle.				
Target Definition	by data issues wit	h the Nation	al Student Cl	earinghouse)	
larget Definition	by data issues wit	h the Nation nd of the Inte	al Student Cl	earinghouse)	
larget Definition	by data issues wit	h the Nation nd of the Inte	al Student Cl grated Plann	earinghouse) iing cycle.	
Target Definition	by data issues wit increase by the er	th the Nation ad of the Inte Act	al Student Cl grated Plann uals	earinghouse) ing cycle. Target	
Target Definition	by data issues wit increase by the er Cohort Yr	th the Nation ad of the Inte Act	al Student Cl grated Plann uals	earinghouse) ing cycle. Target	
Target Definition	by data issues wit increase by the er Cohort Yr Transfer	th the Nation and of the Inte Actr 2010-2011	al Student Cl grated Plann uals 2014-2015	earinghouse) ing cycle. Target 2018-2019	
Target Definition	by data issues wit increase by the en Cohort Yr Transfer Prepared	th the Nation and of the Inte Act 2010-2011 6.61%	al Student Cl grated Plann uals 2014-2015 7.76%	earinghouse) ling cycle. Target 2018-2019 8.76%	
Target Definition	by data issues wit increase by the en Cohort Yr Transfer Prepared Transfer	th the Nation and of the Inte Act 2010-2011 6.61% 14.94%	al Student Cl grated Plann uals 2014-2015 7.76% 13.18%	earinghouse) ing cycle. Target 2018-2019 8.76% 13.50%	

19.06%

SPAR

18.08%

18.08%

Goal 5: Increase the	successful outc	omes for dis	proportionat	ely impacted	stude	ents
Definition	Successful cours ethnic groups that disproportionate	at are more tha	-			n. For
Strategic Plan	Strategic Plan Goal 2.1: Increase student completion rate (degrees, certificates, and transfer) while preserving access, enhancing quality and, and closing achievement gaps. Strategic Plan Goal 2.3 Increase opportunities for student engagement inside and outside of the classroom, evidenced by co-curricular participation, student services, and instructional support.					
Suggested Guided Pathways	Guided Pathway Guided Pathway	•	•	•		
	D 0040 //					
Target Definition	By 2019, there we than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per	orming ethnic g	nic groups that group in college			
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1%	orming ethnic (forming Group	U 1		mpleti	
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per	forming ethnic (forming Group	group in college Fall 2014	-level course co	mpleti	on all 2016
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group	forming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013	group in college	-level course co Fall 2015	mpleti	on all 2016 74.1%
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian	forming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8%	Fall 2014 73.6%	Fall 2015	mpleti	on all 2016
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian White, Non-Hispanic	forming ethnic of forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8% 67.8%	Fall 2014 73.6%	-level course co Fall 2015 73.0% 71.9%	mpleti	on all 2016 74.196 70.496
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian White, Non-Hispanic Decline to State	orming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8% 67.8% 67.1%	Fall 2014 73.6% 70.0% 68.2%	Fall 2015 73.0% 71.9% 65.8%	mpleti	on all 2016 74.1% 70.4% 64.9%
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian White, Non-Hispanic Decline to State Native American	orming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8% 67.8% 67.1% 68.9%	Fall 2014 Fall 2014 73.6% 70.0% 68.2% 61.3%	-level course co	mpleti	all 2016 74.196 64.996 59.896
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian White, Non-Hispanic Decline to State Native American Mixed Ethnicity	orming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8% 67.8% 67.1% 68.9% 63.8%	Fall 2014 Fall 2014 73.6% 70.0% 68.2% 61.3% 64.9%	-level course co Fall 2015 73.0% 71.9% 65.8% 73.1% 67.3%	mpleti	on all 2016 74.1% 64.9% 59.8% 65.7%
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian White, Non-Hispanic Decline to State Native American Mixed Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino	orming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8% 67.8% 67.1% 68.9% 63.8% 63.8% 62.1%	Fall 2014 Fall 2014 73.6% 70.0% 68.2% 61.3% 64.9% 62.8%	-level course co	mpleti	on all 2016 74.1% 64.9% 59.8% 65.7% 63.2%
Target Definition	than the top perf than in 2017. Difference from Highest Per -74.1% Ethnicity Group Asian White, Non-Hispanic Decline to State Native American Mixed Ethnicity Hispanic/Latino Pacific Islander	orming ethnic (forming Group 5.7% Fall 2013 69.8% 67.8% 67.1% 68.9% 63.8% 63.8% 62.1% 71.3%	Fall 2014 Fall 2014 73.6% 70.0% 68.2% 61.3% 64.9% 62.8% 60.4%	-level course co Fall 2015 73.0% 71.9% 65.8% 73.1% 67.3% 63.8% 56.2%	mpleti	on all 2016 74.196 64.996 64.996 65.796 63.296 60.296

Part I – Deadlines and Important Information

- Submission deadline: December 15, 2017
- The 2017-19 Integrated Plan will cover two years. The budget plan will reflect the 2017-18 allocations.
- Integrated fiscal reports will be required on an annual basis.
- All programmatic and student outcome data will be collected via existing MIS reporting.
- No additional data submissions are required.
- Colleges are encouraged to align integrated program plans with their college and district strategic plans/education master plans.
- Identify one individual and an alternate to serve as the point of contact for your college.

PROGRAM INTEGRATION

The integrated SSSP/Student Equity/BSI program model promotes integrated planning and program coordination at the district and college levels. The three programs retain separate requirements as specified in Education Code and title 5 regulations; these requirements are built into the Integrated Plan to ensure compliance with applicable law and regulations. In the coming years, the Chancellor's Office intends to pursue changes in Education Code and title 5 regulations to achieve even greater integration and alignment of the three programs in subsequent planning cycles.

Plans are to be developed in consultation with students, staff, administrators, faculty, Academic Senate, and members of the community as appropriate. Your plan must be adopted by the governing board of the community college district and submitted to the Chancellor's Office by December 1, 2017. A separate plan must be submitted for each college in the district.

DATA-DRIVEN PLANNING

An effective plan is grounded in data. In developing your integrated plan, refer to existing data from your previous plans, additional statewide data, and/or data collected at your colleges.

The Chancellor's Office will explore and develop mechanisms and tools over the coming months to assist and support colleges in their data analysis effort, although colleges should proceed with existing resources to complete the 2017-19 plan. Areas of focus for these new tools will include access and completion for basic skills, workforce and CTE, and transfer level courses.

Although you are not required under this plan to submit your data, analysis, and each goal you set, Education Code requires that you analyze data and develop goals to address the following and to retain that information as part of your institutional records:

- Goals for the general population and for identified student groups, disaggregated by gender, as well as activities designed to address disproportionate impact using one of the Chancellor's Office-approved methodologies. Education Code requires that colleges analyze data for the following student groups and, if appropriate, develop subgroup- specific goals: current or former foster youth, students with disabilities, low-income students, veterans, American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian students, black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, white, some other race, and more than one race.
- Success rates for students with basic skills needs using Basic Skills Cohort Tracker data that show (1) the number of students successfully transitioning to college-level mathematics and English courses, and (2) the time it takes students to successfully transition to college-level mathematics and English courses.

In addition, the following data should inform your planning:

- Trends for incoming students related to engagement in the following activities: (1) orientation, (2) assessment, and/or (3) education planning.
- The number of students on academic or progress probation, referred to follow-up interventions or services, and successfully moved from probation—disaggregated into the student groups that must be included in your disproportionate impact analysis.
- The number of noncredit CDCP certificates awarded, if applicable.
- Noncredit course success data, such as the percentage of students earning a grade of pass (P) or satisfactory progress (SP), if applicable.
- The number of students who transition from noncredit to credit.

Part II – Program Goals and Planning

PREVIOUS ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Questions 1 & 2 focus on what you have accomplished during the 2015-16 planning cycle.

- 1. Assess your college's previous program efforts:
 - a. In the table below, list progress made toward achieving the goals outlined in your 2015-16 SSSP, Student Equity, and BSI plans. Expand the table as needed so that all of your goals are included.

Table 1 - 2015-2016 Goals and Progress



Exhibit A Page **11** of **40**



	Student F	auity Program Profes	sional Dovelonme	nt Evonts/Ac	tivitios
		Equity Program Profest ck College employees to			
		ent events. (Please not			
	attendees				
	NAVPA (5	5)			
		Community College Stu		erence (3)	
		quity Director's Training			
		SE/SSSP Integration We	orkshop (2)		
		ational Conference			A
		Community College Ch	nef Student Services	Administrato	rs Association
		adership Summit 2016 ncellor's Office SEP Co	ordinators Training		
		quity Plan Implementati			
	Umoja Co				
	During Prof	essional Development	week, Dr. Estela Be	nsimon, Direc	tor and Professor
		er for Urban Education/			
		college faculty, leaders			
		sional routines. She int			
		quality, and diversity; th			
		self-change; and the to es. Twenty-two faculty:	1 2		
	positive, ov			le training an	u leeuback was
GOAL		rove ESL and/or Basi	c Skills completior	for African	American
		Basic Skills in English			
		Ind Hispanic (Basic Sl			
		ortionate impact, as id			
PROGRESS		nic Intervention Team (A	, .		
		completion, which inclue activities with the target			
		ultiple times a term. We			
		ssistant Specialist for th			
	J	•			
		Num	ber of AIT Studer	nts	
	40	1		37	
	35				
	30				
	25				
	20	19			
	15				
	10				
	10				
	5				
		Fall 2010		Spring 2017	
	5	Fall 2016		Spring 2017	







GOAL	To improve relationships with feeder high schools so that faculty at high schools better understand the demands of English and Math courses.
PROGRESS	While the English Professional Learning Council (PLC) has taken off in number and attendance, math PLCs will be under consideration for funding in fall, 2018.
GOAL	To increase opportunities for professional development among faculty who teach basic skills courses.
PROGRESS	BSI funded an Academic Reading and Writing Practicum, along with workshops for faculty to improve best practices. These have continued on for two additional years.

Student Succ	ess and Supp						
GOAL	students com achieve their components educational p dismissal."						
PROGRESS		reshman Advantage Program: Nonexempt freshmen who complete SSSP services y a specified deadline are rewarded with a priority registration time between					
				dents. Students		е	
		rogram succeed and persist at higher rates across all students groups.					
	Term			Qualified for FA (First-Time College)			
	Fall '14			1,500			
	Fall '15		1	1,636			
	Fall '16			1,603			
	Fall '17		1	1,438			
		Qual	ified for Fresh	nman Advantag	e		
			(First Time	Students)			
	2000		,	,			
	1800		1636	1603			
	1600	1500			1438		
	1400 1200						
	1000						
	800						
	600						
	400						
	200						
	0 —	Fall '14	Fall '15	Fall '16	Fall '17		
	Data Sourd Run date:	ce: InFORM Data Wa 09.21.17	rehouse				
			Figure	e 1			

GOAL	Provide onsite o	counseling at se	vice area high scho	ols.			
PROGRESS	To support efforts to increase relationships with the college's service area high schools, increase concurrent enrollment and attract a larger number of high school seniors into our Freshman Advantage Program a Saddleback College counselor is assigned to provide direct counseling at each of our 10 area high schools. Ten area feeder high schools allow for Saddleback College counselors to spend up to 10 hours a week providing direct counseling to high school students with a focus on high school seniors and becoming fully matriculated through the Freshman Advantage Program.						
GOAL	Provide orientat	ovide orientation to every nonexempt student.					
PROGRESS	 In-person group Week of Work targeting incoministaff, and faculty. 	up orientations are shops (WOW) is a ng freshmen. This up orientation is pl	orientation is a camp	· · · · · ·	nts,		
# of Orientations				ins			
	*Academic Yea	ar	Completed				
	*Academic Yea 2014-2015	ar	Completed	7,238			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017			7,238 8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017	- Summer, Fall, S	pring following the CC	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000 3,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000 3,000 2,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000 3,000 2,000 1,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			
	2014-2015 2015-2016 2016-2017 *Academic Year 10,000 9,000 8,000 7,000 6,000 5,000 4,000 3,000 2,000	- Summer, Fall, S Orientatio	pring following the CC on Services Provide Academic Year	8,269 8,094 CCO allocation formula			

GOAL	Provide assessment and placement to all students.						
PROGRESS	CTEP assessment is offered for English course placement; the CELSA assessment offered for ESL course placement for both credit and non-credit courses; and MDT is used for math course placement. Saddleback College is conducting two pilot studies of the MMAP with students enrolled in our Counseling 100 class offered to high school seniors at every high school in CUSD and SVUSD.						
	Assessment Tool	AY 14-15	AY 15-16				
	CTEP Reading	6169	6047				
	CTEP Sentence and Syntax	6135	6055				
	CTEP Sentence and Grammar	6135	6049				
	MDTP	6930	7347				



			Local Placement (CTEP)					
		Transfer	One Level	Two Levels	No	Total		
Ę		Level	Below	Below	Placement			
len	Transfer Level	43	53	31	2	130		
Placement	One Level Below	5	13	16	1	35		
MM PI	Two Levels Below	4	10	11	0	25		
2	Three Levels Below	3	6	3	0	12		
	Total	56	82	61	3	202		



Note: Transfer-level Placement under MMAP and Test-only models.

PROGRESS		es. to thoir initial, obbr	oviated academic pla	n in MAD (My Acadamia			
		Students complete their initial, abbreviated academic plan in MAP (My Academic Plan) prior to enrolling.					
			as opened in SSC 166	6. Students are able to			
				nplete their Comprehen			
	Academic Plan w						
	*Academic Yea	ar		nensive and Ited Plans Completed			
	14-15			15,43			
	15-16			13,23			
	16-17			16,02			
	completed both	Comprehens	e and abbreviated pl				
	18.000	by	Academic Year				
	18,000	15,430		16,026			
	16,000		13,239				
	14,000						
	12,000						
	10,000						
	8,000						
	4,000						
	2,000						
	0						
	0	14-15	15-16	16-17			
		Data Mart CCCCO (MIS SS Fi 21.17	ile)				
	Data Source: I Run date: 09.2	-	ile)				

Provide support services for students on probation or at risk of probation/dismissal GOAL PROGRESS The college implemented **Progress Report** homegrown early alert software. This system allows instructors to easily send concern or positive reinforcement messages to students. The messages are viewable by select staff and counselors. Counselors are then able to provide *intrusive advising*. Academic and Progress Probation workshops are offered by counselors. Students who are put on academic probation and/or progress probation for the first time receive a Probation Hold (PB) and are required to attend a first time probation workshop or meet with a counselor in an individual counseling appointment. Total Number of Progress Reports Sent **Count of # Progress Report** Fall 2016 2,592 Spring 2017 3,567 **Grand Total** 6,159 Total # of Progress Reports sent 4000 3567 3500 3000 2592 2500 2000 1500 1000 500 0 Fall 2016 Spring 2017 Data Source: InFORM Data Warehouse Run date: 09.21.17 **Total Number of Students Unduplicated Count of Student** Academic Year (fall and spring) 2,749

GOAL	Increase the number of noncredit Adult Education ESL counseling appointments			
PROGRESS	Counseling and the ESL and AESL department worked closely together to develop and deliver effective specialized admission, SSSP, and enrollment services. One of the primary objectives is to reduce exit points. Bilingual counseling in Spanish and Farsi is offered daily at the new off-campus Adult Education ESL (AESL) support services sites to help students understand and complete these processes.			
	Services	Summer 2017		
	Non Credit Orientation	251		
	Non Credit Advisement	76		
	Non Credit Ed Planning 85			

b. To what do you attribute your overall success or lack thereof? (This answer can be in narrative or bullet; 100 words maximum)

We attribute our overall success to the use of a transparent, participatory governance model, which includes leadership from the ranks of faculty, staff, administrators and students. We also invest in research to foster dialogue around data for decision-making. All the activities and interventions supported by the three programs were based on literature in the field and data, as recommended by statewide movements and findings (such as the RP Group's "Student Success (Re)defined"). Examples of supported projects include institutionalization of Comprehensive Plan holds, elimination of non-academic barriers for student success, and increasing the use of embedded tutoring in all courses.

c. In the table below, identify one goal from your 2015-16 plans that intersects SSSP, Student Equity, and BSI and describe the integration activities. (Note: For the 2017-19 plan, integrated goals are required.)

Table 2 - 2015-2016 Intersection of goals

Goal	Activities in each program that serve the goal listed					
	SSSP	Student Equity	BSI			
Increase course completions in transfer-level math and English courses.	Use of "Refresh" program that supports higher English/math placements for incoming students, adoption of MMAP from feeder high schools, intrusive counseling for English and math to ensure first-semester enrollment.	Increase embedded tutoring, support Directed Learning Activities, intrusive counseling (including educational planning, etc.) for disproportionately impacted groups.	Increase in the number of hours, staffing, and availability of tutors who support transfer-level math and English in the Tutoring Center.			

2. Describe one strategy or activity that your college has implemented that is resulting in significant gains in student completion or closing of achievement gaps. The Chancellor's Office will use this information to assist in dissemination of effective practices to other colleges.

The college has nearly doubled the number of student hours for the Tutoring Center; our institutional research indicates that students who attend six or more hours of tutoring have significantly better course success rates (76.9% in tutoring vs. 72.9% not tutored) and next-term persistence rates (93.5% in tutoring vs. 77.9% not tutored) than their counterparts that did not attend tutoring. These findings are not only significantly different but have broad scale: our Tutoring Center reaches several thousand students, and more than 1,400 students attend more than six hours of tutoring each major term.

FUTURE PLANS

Questions 3-8 address the 2017-19 planning cycle.

- 3. Establish integrated student success goals to be completed/achieved by June 30, 2019, along with corresponding activities designed to achieve those goals. Goals must be outcomes-based, using system-wide outcomes metrics. For example:
 - Basic skills completion, including, but not limited to, (1) increasing the number of students successfully transitioning to college-level mathematics and English courses, and 2) reducing the time it takes students to successfully transition to college-level mathematics and English courses.
 - Closing achievement gaps for disproportionately impacted groups.
 - Improving success rates in degree attainment, certificate attainment, and transfer.
 - Improved identification of and support for students at-risk for academic or progress probation.
 - Deeper collaborations with high school districts, workforce agencies, or other community partners, particularly to increase students' college and job readiness Improved noncredit student success for those with noncredit offerings (e.g., CDCP certificates awarded, course success, and noncredit-to-credit transition)

Select five integrated goals for the period covering this plan and complete the following table, showing how each goal connects across programs as well as the activities/steps you will implement to achieve each goal (Note: not all cells are required to be completed for each goal, but goals should cross at least two programs). Include at least one goal for each of three programs: Student Success and Support Program (core services), Student Equity, and Basic Skills.

Complete the table on the next page. Add rows as needed to list all five goals.

Table 3 – Integrated Goals

Goal		ach program that ser	ve the goal listed	Goal Area
	SSSP	Student Equity	BSI	
Identify and evaluate non- academic barriers to course completion.	Utilize progress report data to identify non- academic barriers.	Provide direct student support, such as grocery cards, transportation cards, and textbook cards for economically disadvantaged students.	Provide workshops for faculty to teach accelerated courses in English in order to shorten the path to transfer; workshops emphasize affective and non- academic barriers to success.	 Access Retention Transfer ESL/Basic Skills Completion Degree & Certificate Completion Other
Increase access and use of college support programs	During new student orientations, provide in- person tours to support and highlight student services. Saddleback Generalist Counselors to speak in all twelfth grade high school classes in Capo Unified and Saddleback Unified school districts	Continue to fund Outreach Specialists for economically disadvantaged and Veteran Students Continue to fund Financial Aid Specialist and Ambassadors to conduct financial literacy classes and assist in the application process.	Increase tutoring in all subjects, including Basic Skills and transfer- level math and English courses.	 Access Retention Transfer ESL/Basic Skills Completion Degree & Certificate Completion Other
Increase completion of transfer-level Math and English courses	Counseling Division will begin embedded counseling in spring 2018. "15 to Finish" campaign launched to incentivize enrollment into	Hire at least one part-time Learning Assistant Specialist who will meet with students on a weekly basis in order to connect students with resources on campus, including but not limited to	Support Professional Learning Councils to engage high school instructors and help high school students to better understand the matriculation, testing and placement	 Access ✓ Retention ✓ Transfer ✓ ESL/Basic Skills Completion ✓ Degree & Certificate Completion Other

	English, reading and math classes during the first two semesters for all new fully matriculated freshmen	academic/ student support services. Adopt several of the activities proposed in Student Centered Holistic Open Online Learning (SCHOOL) to provide additional resources for student who are having difficulties completing their Math sequences as indicated in some of the ESL/Basic Skills indicator.	procedures at the college. Increase use of embedded tutors in all transfer-level courses.	
Increase student completion of career technical and non-credit certificates, two- year degrees and transfers.	Counseling to host two parent/student CTE nights for each high school district partner in order to educate students and families about CTE programs. Ongoing CTE counseling provided regularly targeting students with CTE educational goal.	Provide counseling to all disproportionally impacted students to assist with educational goals.	Increase the numbers of faculty served through reading/writing practicums to include CTE and noncredit faculty.	 Access Retention Transfer ESL/Basic Skills Completion Degree & Certificate Completion Other:_
Increase the successful outcomes for disproportionately impacted students	Disaggregate data in fully matriculated data for SEP, EOPs and Transfer.	Increase presence of staff/counselors that work specifically with BSI students, Veteran students, Foster	Increase use of PASS tutoring program for student athletes. Increase presence	 Access Retention Transfer ESL/Basic Skills Completion Degree &

Provided weekly ongoing counseling at Adult Education off campus sites. Youth students, and Hispanic students seeking to transfer. of counselors in the tutoring center for VETS, DSPS and athletes. Certificate Completion Ø Other:_

4. How will your college accomplish integration of matriculation, instruction, and student support to accomplish you student success goals? Include in your answer how your college will ensure coordination across student equity-related categorical programs or campus-based programs. (500 words max)

To accomplish integration, the college will:

- Ensure adequate representation from SEP, SSSP and BSI on the college-wide Student Success Council, which is an umbrella committee that includes membership from all stakeholders. The SSC was established at the onset of SB 1456.
- Utilize a tracking mechanism for reviewing all projects supported by the three programs, to ensure efficiencies and avoid redundancies. The tracking tool includes funding amounts, activities, and connection to overall goals and objectives.
- These activities will also be integrated through to the college's Strategic Plan, which is a 6year plan, and also inform the Educational Master Plan, which is 20-year plan (renewed every six years).
- Furthermore, the activities will also be integrated through to the SOCCCD District's Strategic Plan.
- District-wide technologies have been developed to support in the tracking and implementation of these goals (such as Sherpa, the Student Success Dashboard, My Academic Plan, and Progress Report).

5. If your college has noncredit offerings, describe how you are including these offerings in moving students through to their goals, including post-secondary transitions and employment. (250 words max)

No Student Equity funds were identified for this category. For SSSP: a total of 3.5 counselors work directly with non-credit students providing non-credit advisement and counseling follow-up at all off campus non-credit college sites weekly. All non-credit students complete orientation, assessment and advisement and receive a comprehensive educational plan. As of May 2017, Saddleback College has begun using MIS non-credit data elements and has aligned these data element codes with SARS. For BSI, one way that we will continue to support noncredit programs is to grow tutoring to off campus adult education sites. This provides support for hundreds of students enrolled in noncredit adult education courses, who do not normally come to the college campus for support services.

6. Describe your professional development plans to achieve your student success goals. (100 words max)

In June 2017, a college-wide professional development work group was established to address PD needs and to assess for any duplication of efforts in training for faculty and staff in relation to SEP, SSSP and BSI priorities. The BSI committee has also supported a college-wide basic skills summit (and will continue to do so yearly), reading/writing practicums for faculty to learn best practices, and workshops to support strategies for accelerated courses in English. SEP sponsored a guest speaker during the college's Professional Development Week to address best practices for bringing social justice to the classroom. Dr. Estela Bensimon, Director and Professor of the Center for Urban Education/ Rossier School of Education, University of Southern California spoke about the ways in which community college faculty, leaders, and staff can learn to "practice" equity as part of their professional routines. She introduced participants to the conceptual differences in equity, equality, and diversity; the theoretical underpinnings of action research as a catalyst for self-change; and the tools to enact equity-focused action research on their own practices.

7. How and how often will you evaluate progress toward meeting your student success goals for both credit and noncredit students? You could analyze milestones, momentum points, leading indicators, or any other metric you find appropriate for your college. (100 words max)

Progress toward meeting student success goals is continually evaluated. Each term, SSSP evaluation includes Core Service tracking, Progress Report data, Academic Probation and Progress Probation, Comprehensive Plan Holds monitoring, and student placement tracking. BSI evaluation focuses on monitoring BSI course completion rates on a term basis and evaluation of faculty who engaged in professional development opportunities. Each term, SEP activities are evaluated in relation to their associated core indicators: access, course completion, ESL/BSI completion (in conjunction with BSI), degree completion, and transfer. Ongoing research evaluates barriers disproportionally impacted students face when trying to reach their academic goals. Annually, the college assesses Scorecard and the Basic Skills

Tracker and assesses achievement outcomes by ethnicity and by college readiness (prepared/unprepared). The assessment of these data includes discussion among BSI and SEP constituents. The college has set IEPI goals for the progress indicators such that dialogue between BSI and SEP will occur if the college is meeting/not meeting these goals. With the new three-year cohorts in the Scorecard, the college will also be able to address outcomes for remedial progress and achievement sooner. The Scorecard's remedial progress and achievement sooner.

8. For multi-college districts, how will you coordinate your efforts for SSSP, Student Equity, and BSI, with other colleges in your district to achieve your student success goals? (100 words max)

Our college is not only working toward planning integration between the two colleges in our district, but is also working to incorporate the new integrated plan into our districtwide planning. In addition, our college will be engaging in multiple multi-college planning update and progress meetings as well as attending districtwide planning discussions. Further, we will collaborate on integrated planning metrics and assess progress toward goals and discuss these to make appropriate decisions within the plans.

9. Using the document "BSI SE SSSP Integrated Budget Plan 2017-2018" and your 2017-2018 annual allocation amounts, provide a budget plan specifying how you will utilize your BSI, SE, and SSSP funds to help achieve your student success goals.

All three funds accept requests for funding various projects according to an established process that includes researchers, stakeholders and students. Activities are vetted through the process to ensure compliance and alignment with the stated goals and guidelines of each program. The grant administrators and researchers meet periodically to align plans, and to review additional requests to ensure efficiency and to avoid redundancies.

Object Code	Category	BSI	SEP	SSSP	SSSP Match	Non-credit SSSP	Non-credit SSSP Match	Total
1000	Academic Salaries	\$ 100,000	\$ 87,524	\$1,656,587	\$1,826,508	\$106,186	\$189,075	
2000	Classified and Other Nonacademic Salaries	\$ 75,000	\$ 719,197	\$ 554,802	\$844,008		\$135,605	
3000	Employee Benefits	\$ 24,683	\$ 350,514	\$816,548	\$951,053	\$18,726	\$133,166	
4000	Supplies & Materials		\$ 500	\$85,000	\$8,831			
5000	Other Operating Expenses and Services	\$ 51,005	\$ 25,300	\$80,000	\$1,462			
6000	Capital Outlay	\$ -	\$ 370	\$40,000	\$56,418			
7000	Other Outgo	\$ -	\$78,000					
	Total	\$250,688	\$1,261,405	\$3,232,937	\$3,688,280	\$124,912	\$457,846	\$4,869,942

Note: see <u>https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/11Dti43Jb_rWCU5tvjMM66W4gMHpXfSnCUq4xy4gvlKc/edit#gid=1059502616</u> for more details. BSI=Basic Skills Initiative, SEP=Student Equity Plan, SSSP=Credit Student Success and Support Program

10. Each college must create an executive summary that includes, at a minimum, the Student Equity goals for each required student group, the activities the college will undertake to achieve these goals, and the resources budgeted for these activities. The executive summary for this plan must also include an accounting of how Student Equity funding for 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17 was expended and an assessment of the progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans. The summary must also include the name of the college or district official to contact for further information. The executive summary must be posted to the college website. Provide a link to your college's executive summary below:

2017-2018 Student Equity/Integrated Goals for each required student group						
College Activities to achieve these goals	2017-2018 Resources Budgeted					
Non-academic ba	rriers and services					
School Survival Kits	9,000					
Book Voucher Program	83,000.00					
Bus Passes & Gas Cards	37,000					
Grocery Cards	42,000.00					
Subtotal	171,000					
Access and support programs						
PT Vets Counselor (3)	40,549					
PT FY Counselor	31,149					
PT CLASE Counselor (2)	31,149					
PT CLASE Student & Alum Mentors	24,744.00					
Office Supplies for student use	200.00					
Printing materials for mentor program	300.00					
Food & Bev for CLASE events	900.00					
CLASE Tours Transportation	5,000					
PT Vets Tutoring	3,382.00					
Subtotal	137,373					
Completion of transfer-leve	el Math and English courses					
PT Learning Assistant Specialist	51,827.53					
Subtotal	51,827.53					
Outcomes for disproportion	onately impacted students					
FT VETS Program Outreach Specialist	123,360.00					
FT Outreach Program Specialist	109,225.00					
PT Outreach Project Specialist (NBUs)	60,353.00					
FT Financial Aid Specialist	93,142.00					
PT Financial Aid Ambassadors (NBUs)	59,468.00					
Research & Planning: Student Equity Research Analyst	110,618					
16% Research Analyst salary	21,583.00					
FT Sr. Administrative Assistant	99,837.00					
FT SEP Manager/Coordinator	149,148.00					
SEP Professional Development & Mileage	13,000.00					
Subtotal	839,734					

Previous Student Equity goals for each required student group				
	A.1 Age - 35 years old and above			
GOAL: Imp	rove access for the target population noted above.			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- FT Outreach Program Specialist			
funding for 2014-15 was expended	\$ 42,455			
	- PT Outreach Project Specialist			
	\$ 24,695			
	- FT ESP Faculty			
	\$41,384			
	- PT Re-entry Counselor			
	\$57,066 - PT Project Specialist			
	\$ 21,996			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Access based on age were			
funding for 2015-16 was expended	shifted to focus on A.2 Economically Disadvantaged. Please see A.2 section. Below			
	are three activities that were in progress when the state directive was released.			
	- FT ESL Faculty			
	\$35,305			
	- PT Re-entry/ Economically Disadvantaged Counselor			
	\$32,366			
	- PT Community Education Project Specialist			
	\$3,340			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Access based on age were			
funding for 2016-17 was expended	shifted to focus on A.2 Economically Disadvantaged. Please see A.2 section.			
Progress made in achieving the	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Access based on age were			
identified goals from prior year plans	shifted to focus on A.2 Economically Disadvantaged. Please see A.2 section.			
	A.2 Economically Disadvantaged			
GOAL · Impi	rove access for the target population noted above.			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- PT Financial Aid Ambassadors			
funding for 2014-15 was expended	\$ 45,951			
	- FT Financial Aid Specialist			
	\$ 76,267			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- District Events			
funding for 2015-16 was expended	\$ 1,237			
	- School Survival Kits			
	\$ 4,498			
	- Book Voucher Program			
	\$ 25,974			
	- Transportation Card Program			
	\$ 749 - Outreach iPads & Accessories			
	\$3716			
	- PT Financial Aid Ambassadors			
	\$ 53,861			
	- FT Financial Aid Specialist			
	\$104.245			

	-	
	- Financial Aid iPads and Accessories \$7,086	
	- Printing Materials	
	\$705	
	- FT Outreach Program Specialist	
	\$114,698	
	- PT Outreach Project Specialist \$55,214	
	- Grocery Card Grant Program	
	\$85,548	
	- Textbook Card Grant Program \$200,000	
	- Transportation Card Grant Program	
	\$31,725	
Accounting of how Student Equity	- ACCESS District Events	
funding for 2016-17 was expended	\$ 1,250	
	- School Survival Kits \$ 5,000	
	- Book Voucher Program	
	\$ 42,500	
	- Transportation Card Program	
	\$ 750	
	- PT Financial Aid Ambassadors	
	\$ 57,680	
	- FT Financial Aid Specialist	
	\$86,072 - FT Outreach Program Specialist	
	\$130,274	
	- PT Outreach Project Specialist	
	\$58,542	
	- Grocery Card Grant Program	
	\$45,051	
	- Textbook Card Grant Program	
	\$16,348 - Transportation Card Grant Program	
	\$2,000	
Progress made in achieving the	Financial aid and outreach departments work together to provide workshops and	
identified goals from prior year	outreach activities for students to raise awareness about financial aid services at	
plans	Saddleback Colleges. Close to 4,000 students have taken part in these activities since	
	2015.	
	A.3 Veteran Student Access	
	rove access for the target population noted above.	
Accounting of how Student Equity funding for 2014-15 was expended		
initialing for 2014-13 was experided	\$ 102,851 - PT VETS Project Specialist	
	\$ 8,976	
Accounting of how Student Equity	- District Events	
funding for 2015-16 was expended	\$ 1,237	
	- School Survival Kits	
	\$ 4,498	
	- Book Voucher Program	

	\$ 25,974		
	- Transportation Card Program		
	\$ 749		
	- iPads		
	\$3716		
	- PT Tutors embedded in the Veteran's Center		
	\$ 663		
	- PT VETS Counselor		
	\$86,923		
	- FT VETS Program Outreach Specialist		
	\$82,733		
	- PT VETS Project Specialist		
	\$11,555		
	- Duplicating		
	\$ 575		
	- One-time Special Allocation Budget		
	\$102,889		
Accounting of how Student Equity	- ACCESS District Events		
funding for 2016-17 was expended	\$ 1,250		
	- School Survival Kits		
	\$ 5,000		
	- Book Voucher Program		
	\$ 42,500		
	- Transportation Card Program		
	\$ 750		
	- PT Tutors embedded in the Veteran's Center		
	\$ 3279		
	- PT VETS Counselor		
	\$113,171		
	- FT VETS Program Outreach Specialist		
	\$119,217		
	- PT VETS Project Specialist		
	\$19,900		
Progress made in achieving the	A VETS Program Outreach Specialist provides Saddleback College information		
identified goals from prior year	regarding the matriculation process, residency, GI Bill, VA assistance, counseling and		
plans	other support services to the VETS population at north base Camp Pendleton, the		
plans			
	Wounded Warriors Battalion, and southern region of the Saddleback College feeder		
	area. They also host orientations each term. The last such event drew over 100		
	students.		
	hnicity (African American & Pacific Islander)		
	ourse completion for the target population noted above.		
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Smart Pen Technology		
funding for 2014-15 was expended	\$ 3,826		
	- PT SCHOOL Math Project Specialist		
	\$ 5,768		
	- FT Research Analyst (16%)		
	\$ 9,699		
	- FT Research Specialist		
	\$ 8,798		

Accounting of how Student Equity			
funding for 2015-16 was expended			
	- PT SCHOOL Math Project Specialist		
	\$ 10,690		
	- FT Research Analyst (16%)		
	\$ 55,008		
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Smart Pen Technology		
funding for 2016-17 was expended	\$ 250		
	- FT SCHOOL Program Coordinator		
	\$ 0 (funds were withdrawn due to lack of activity)		
	- PT SCHOOL Math Project Specialist		
	\$ 0 (funds were withdrawn due to lack of activity)		
	- FT Research Analyst (16%)		
	\$ 20,623		
	- FT Research Specialist		
	\$ 94,291		
Progress made in achieving the	Professional development opportunities offer staff, administration, and faculty with		
identified goals from prior year	information and resources that can be applied at Saddleback College to help		
plans	improve course completion rates for African American students. New technologies,		
	like the Smart Pen Technology, are being implemented in courses with positive		
	student feedback.		
	C.1 Age- 35 years old and above		
GOAL: Improve ESL and	d basic skills completion for the target population noted above.		
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Software Licensing		
funding for 2014-15 was expended	\$ 715		
Accounting of how Student Equity			
funding for 2015-16 was expended	N/A		
Accounting of how Student Equity			
funding for 2016-17 was expended	N/A		
Progress made in achieving the	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for ESL/BSI Completion based on		
identified goals from prior year	age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group.		
plans			
	rican American, Pacific Islander, and Hispanic Students		
	d basic skills completion for the target population noted above.		
Accounting of how Student Equity	- N/A		
funding for 2014-15 was expended			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Part-time Learning Assistant Specialist		
funding for 2015-16 was expended	\$ 3,318		
	- Directive Learning Activities Stipend		
	\$ 37,018		
	- ESL Workshops		
	\$ 1,552		
	- LRC Extended front desk hours (NBU & Staff OT)		
	\$14,731		
	- LRC Tutors (Peer & Faculty)		
	\$26,396		
	-Book Voucher Program		
	\$ 7,999		
	בבב, ל		

Accounting of how Student Equity	Part time Learning Assistant Specialist		
funding for 2016-17 was expended			
	- Directive Learning Activities Stipend		
	\$ 6,934		
	-Book Voucher Program		
	\$ 31,259		
Progress made in achieving the	The Academic Intervention Team (AIT) is positioned to continue to provide value		
identified goals from prior year	resources and guidance to improve outcomes for disproportionately impacted ELS		
plans	and BSI students. Students meet with a staff member three times a term in order to		
	connect students with resources on campus, including but not limited to academic/student support services: counseling, financial aid, EOPS, DSPS, library		
	services, tutoring services, mental/emotional health support, counseling, and health		
	center.		
	D.1 Age – 20 years and above		
	nd certificate completion for the target population noted above.		
Accounting of how Student Equity funding for 2014-15 was expended	-N/A		
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A		
funding for 2015-16 was expended			
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A		
funding for 2016-17 was expended			
Progress made in achieving the	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on		
identified goals from prior year plans	age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group.		
	D.2 Age - 25 to 29 years of age		
GOAL : Improve degree a	and certificate completion for the target population noted above.		
Accounting of how Student Equity			
funding for 2014-15 was expended			
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A		
funding for 2015-16 was expended			
Accounting of how Student Equity	NI/A		
	-N/A		
funding for 2016-17 was expended	-N/A		
	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on		
funding for 2016-17 was expended			
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group.		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age -	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above rove transfer for the target population noted above.		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age - GOAL: Impr	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above cove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above cove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours \$9,772 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours \$9,772 - LRC Peer and PT Faculty tutoring 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above cove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours \$9,772 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours \$9,772 - LRC Peer and PT Faculty tutoring \$ 39,821.50 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans E.1 Age GOAL: Impr Accounting of how Student Equity	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours \$ 9,772 - LRC Peer and PT Faculty tutoring \$ 39,821.50 - Extended tutoring hours 		
funding for 2016-17 was expended Progress made in achieving the identified goals from prior year plans <u>E.1 Age- GOAL: Impr</u> Accounting of how Student Equity funding for 2014-15 was expended	 Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Degree Completion based on age were eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group. - 35 to 39 years of age and 50 years and above ove transfer for the target population noted above. - Faculty Overload \$ 2,043 - LRC Tutoring Faculty \$ 49,292 - Extended Front Desk Hours \$9,772 - LRC Peer and PT Faculty tutoring \$ 39,821.50 - Extended tutoring hours \$1,156 		

Exhibit A Page **36** of **40**

	-N/A			
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A			
funding for 2016-17 was expended	-N/A			
	-N/A			
Progress made in achieving the	-Per state directives, activities and positions funded for Transfer based on age were			
identified goals from prior year	eliminated as age is no longer considered a DI group.			
plans	commuted as age is no longer considered a Di group.			
b	E.2 Ethnicity – Hispanic Students			
GOAL · Impr	ove transfer for the target population noted above.			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Peer Mentors			
funding for 2014-15 was expended	\$ 20,483			
	- PT CLASE Lead Mentor			
	\$ 12,706			
	- PT CLASE Student and Alum Mentors			
	\$ 10,930			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Peer Mentors			
funding for 2015-16 was expended	\$ 2,274			
	- PT CLASE Transfer Counselor			
	\$ 13,690			
	- PT CLASE Lead Mentor			
	\$ 26,624			
	- PT CLASE Student and Alum Mentors			
	\$ 23,360			
	- CLASE supplies for student events			
	\$ 125			
	- CLASE District Events			
	\$ 1,235			
	- CLASE Tours			
	\$ 1,351			
	- CLASE Mailer			
	\$ 433.16			
	- Speakers			
	\$ 2,000			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- PT CLASE Transfer Counselor			
funding for 2016-17 was expended	\$79,185			
	- PT CLASE Lead Mentor			
	\$26,831			
	- PT CLASE Student and Alum Mentors			
	\$24,001			
	- CLASE supplies for student events			
	\$200			
	- CLASE printing materials for mentors			
	\$300			
	- CLASE District Events			
	\$900			
	- CLASE Tours			
	\$9,600			
	- Speakers			
	\$ 3,000			

Progress made in achieving the	The CLASE program now has a strong foundation for a successful transfer mentor			
identified goals from prior year	program. Evaluations show that students report obtaining valuable transfer			
plans	information and gaining a sense of community and support through their participation in the program. Students benefit from the opportunity to travel to			
	different campuses and learn about the transfer process. Over 100 students have			
	taken advantage of this program since 2015.			
	E.3 Disability Status			
GOAL: Impi	rove transfer for the target population noted above.			
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A			
funding for 2014-15 was expended				
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A			
funding for 2015-16 was expended				
Accounting of how Student Equity	-N/A			
funding for 2016-17 was expended				
Progress made in achieving the	There have been no funds allocated to this area.			
identified goals from prior year				
plans				
-	Disadvantaged Students; F.2 Veterans; F.3 Foster Youth			
	Ongoing: Across numerous indicators			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Co-chair and Indicator Lead Stipends			
funding for 2014-15 was expended	\$34,852			
	- Supportive Staff			
	\$12,799			
	- Student Equity Professional Development & Mileage			
	\$ 8,855			
	- Student Equity District Events			
	\$ 1,772			
	- Student Equity Advertising			
	\$ 20,788			
	- Student Equity Postage \$ 4,370			
	- Student Equity Office Supplies \$26			
	- Student Equity Speaker			
	\$ 5,000			
Accounting of how Student Equity	- Co-chair and Indicator Lead Stipends			
funding for 2015-16 was expended	\$31,003			
	- FT Student Equity Senior Administrative Assistant			
	\$52,249 - Student Equity Professional Development & Mileage			
	\$21,550			
	- Student Equity Advertising			
	\$2,656			
	- Student Equity Postage			
	\$32			
	- Student Equity Office Supplies			
	\$75			
Accounting of how Student Fault				
Accounting of how Student Equity	- FT Student Equity Manager			
funding for 2016-17 was expended	\$57,774			

	- FT Student Equity Senior Administrative Assistant
	\$87,690
	- Student Equity Professional Development & Mileage
	\$3,712
	- Student Equity Advertising
	\$1,000
	- Student Equity Postage
	\$50
	- Student Equity Office Supplies
	\$500
	- Part-time Foster Youth Counselor
	46,213
Progress made in achieving the	Professional development activities provide opportunities for staff, administration,
identified goals from prior year plans	and faculty to learn how to integrate equity into their work at Saddleback College.

11. What support from the Chancellor's Office (e.g., webinars, workshops, site visits, etc.) and on what topics (e.g., budget, goal setting, expenditures, data visualization, etc.) would help you to accomplish your goals for student success and the closing of achievement gaps?

- Make MIS Student Success Referential File available on Data on Demand (like other categorical files).
- State Chancellor can require and support collaboration not only at the district level, but at the *regional* level as well.

12. Identify one individual to serve as the point of contact for your college (with an alternate) for the Integrated Plan and provide the following information for that person:

Point of Contact:

Name	Jennifer Klein
Title	Director of Research, Planning and Accreditation
Email Address	jklein26@saddleback.edu
Phone	(949) 582-4565

Alternate Point of Contact:

Name	Penny Skaff
Title	Dean of Counseling
Email Address	pskaff@saddleback.edu
Phone	(949) 582-4572

Part III – Approval and Signature Page

College: Saddleback College District

: South Orange County CCD

Board of Trustees Approval Date:

We certify the review and approval of the 2017-19 Integrated Plan by the district board of trustees on the date shown above. We also certify that the goals, strategies and activities represented in this plan meet the legislative and regulatory intent of the Student Success and Support (credit and noncredit), Student Equity, and Basic Skills programs and that funds allocated will be spent according to law, regulation and expenditure guidelines published by the California Community College Chancellor's Office.

Debra L. Fitzsimons - Interim Chancellor

dfitzsimons@socccd.edu

Chancellor	Date	Email Address
Denise Whittaker - Acting President		dwhittaker@saddleback.edu
President	Date	Email Address
Dr. Jim Buysse - Acting Vice Chancellor, Business Services		jbuysse@socccd.edu
Chief District Business Officer	Date	Email Address
Dr. Kathy Werle - Vice President for Instruction		kwerle@saddleback.edu
Chief Instructional Officer	Date	Email Address
Dr. Juan Avalos - Vice President for Student Services		javalos@saddleback.edu
Chief Student Services Officer	Date	Email Address
Carol Hilton- Vice President Administrative		chilton@saddleback.edu
Services		
Campus Budget Officer	Date	Email Address
Dan Walsh - Academic Senate President		dwalsh@saddleback.edu
President, Academic Senate	Date	Email Address